

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

6 * * *

7 KEITH KEMP,

8 Plaintiff,

9
10 vs.

11 HOWARD SKOLNIK, et al.,

12 Defendants.
13


2:09-CV-02002-PMP-VCF

ORDER

14 On April 9, 2012, the Court entered an Order (Doc. #69) denying Plaintiff's
15 Motion for Reconsideration (Doc. #62) and directing that Plaintiff file a response to
16 Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. #65) not later than April 24, 2012. To date,
17 Plaintiff has failed to comply with this Court's Order. As a result, Plaintiff consents
18 to the granting of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. Moreover, a review of
19 Defendants' Motion to Dismiss shows that Defendants are entitled to the relief
20 requested on the merits.

21 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc.
22 #65) is **GRANTED** and that the Clerk of Court shall forthwith enter judgment in
23 favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff.

24 DATED: April 26, 2012.

25 
26

PHILIP M. PRO
United States District Judge